

*F Zenger*  
*Dikar*  
*personal jurisdiction*

RECEIVED

JU . 2 6 2001

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY  
LEXINGTON

Eastern District of Kentucky

FILED

JUL 25 2001

47 LEXINGTON  
LESLIE S. WHITMER  
PLAINTIFF

CIVIL ACTION NO. 01-02

TIMOTHY A. NEAL

V.

ORDER


CONNECTICUT VALLEY ARMS, et al.,

DEFENDANTS

\*\*\*\*\*

This matter is before the Court on the motion of defendant, Dikar, S. Coop. LTDA., to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. This Court previously ruled that Dikar is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court in Haymaker v. Connecticut Valley Arms, a case involving the same allegedly defective rifle. Civil Action No. 97-28 (E.D. Ky.). Dikar does not dispute that the jurisdictional facts and issues in the present case are identical to those found in Haymaker. Based upon the Court's analysis in Haymaker, Civil Action No. 97-28, D.E. # 191, Dikar has purposefully availed itself of the privilege of conducting business not only in the United States, but in Kentucky. The plaintiff, Timothy A. Neal, has a cause of action which arises from Dikar's contacts with Kentucky, and the exercise of personal jurisdiction over Dikar is reasonable. Accordingly, the Court, being otherwise fully and sufficiently advised, HEREBY ORDERS that Dikar's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction [D.E. # 5] is DENIED.

This 25 day of July, 2001.

  
KARL S. FORESTER, CHIEF JUDGE